

Q. What were they hollowing?—A. They were just hollowing "Hurrah!"

Q. Did this hurrahing intimidate you or prevent you from voting as you chose?—A. It did not me.

Q. Did you not vote on the day of election in accordance with your own wishes?—A. I did.

Q. Do you know of any colored voter who was prevented by the gathering of the crowd to hear the political speech on that day from voting as he wished to vote?—A. No, sir; I do not.

Q. Would the wearing of red shirts by any man or set of men intimidate you in such manner as to prevent you from voting in such manner as you choose?—A. The wearing of a red shirt would not.

Q. Do you know of any man who was a voter in this community that was or could be intimidated by the wearing of red shirts on the part of other men?—A. I don't know of any except what others told me.

Q. Give me the names of the voters who told you that they were intimidated by the wearing of red shirts by other people.—A. Luther Monroe; that is all.

Q. Did not Luther Monroe attend the election and vote?—A. Yes; he told me he did, and voted the Democratic ticket.

Q. Do you know of any elector in Lumberton precinct who approached the polls, or attempted to approach the polls, for the purpose of depositing his ballot that was driven away, or by violence, intimidation, or threats prevented from voting according to his own wishes?—A. No, sir; I do not.

Q. Was the election in Lumberton precinct conducted quietly, peaceably, honestly, and fairly, so far as you saw or knew of?—A. Yes, sir; so far as I know of.

Q. Was it not a fact that white men, negroes, and Croatsans voted in Lumberton precinct on the day of election?—A. I do not know.

Q. Was it not a fact that four of the six judges of the election in Lumberton precinct were Fusionist?—A. The only two I knew were D. P. Allin, Republican, and E. G. Johnson, Populist.

Q. You state in your direct examination that Col. O. H. Dockery was the Fusion candidate for Congress in the district; what do you mean by Fusion candidate?

(Counsel for contestant objects to the question on the ground that this witness did not so state in his direct examination.)

A. I did not say that.

Q. Was there any Fusion candidate for Congress in this district; and if so, who was he?—A. I do not know.

Q. Do you not know that many of the colored voters were dissatisfied with the ticket put up for them to vote for in Robeson County, and stated that they would not support it?—A. I know of a few.

Q. You stated in your direct examination that you heard of threats being made against colored voters of this county just prior to the last election; give the names of the party who made these threats; the names of parties who were threatened, and what the threats were?—A. Jake Powel.

Q. Who threatened him; C. D. Townsend?—A. He told him that he would have to not go to the polls and vote that Fusionist ticket; if so, he would lose his employment. He was a drayman. I don't know of any others.

Q. You stated that you were threatened with dismissal from the service of your employer if you did not stay away from the polls or vote the Democratic ticket. Did this have the effect of intimidating you, or preventing you from voting as you chose?—A. It did not.

Q. You stated in your direct examination that there was a demonstration of Red Shirts before the election. Was there any demonstration of colored folks in the town of Lumberton just before the election?—A. No, sir; if that demonstrates one, it does not demonstrate the other.

Q. Was there not a political gathering and a political speech by and for the colored people in the court-house on one or more occasions?—A. There was one speech for them and one gathering by them.

Q. Did they holler and hurrah?—A. I think so.

Q. Who made the speech on that occasion?—A. Dr. R. M. Norment.

(Counsel for the contestant protests against the consumption of the time of the court by the counsel for the contestee in asking questions of the witnesses which are not germane to the case. Counsel for contestee tender their thanks to the counsel for the contestant for suggestions made by him and express the hope that counsel for contestant will in the future conduct the examination of his witnesses by asking them competent and relevant questions, so that counsel for contestee would not feel required to take up their own time and the time of their witnesses in trying to extract out of the multitude of hearsay, general, and incompetent answers of the contestant's witnesses. Counsel for contestee said the question just asked the witness was intended to show that Dr. R. M. Norment was an independent Republican candidate for Congress in this district in opposition to the fusion candidate, O. H. Dockery, and that the result of the election was affected thereby.)